arXiv:math/0504536v1 [math.AP] 26 Apr 2005

HIGH FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF HELMHOLTZ EQUATIONS: CASE OF TWO POINT SOURCES

ELISE FOUASSIER

Abstract. We derive the high frequency limit of the Helmholtz equation with source term when the source is the sum of two point sources. We study it in terms of Wigner measures (quadratic observables). We prove that the Wigner measure associated with the solution satisfies a Liouville equation with, as source term, the sum of the source terms that would be created by each of the two point sources taken separately. The first step, and main difficulty, in our study is the obtention of uniform estimates on the solution. Then, from these bounds, we derive the source term in the Liouville equation together with the radiation condition at infinity satisfied by the Wigner measure.

AMS subject classifications. 35Q60, 35J05, 81S30.

1. Introduction

In this article, we are interested in the analysis of the high frequency limit of the following Helmholtz equation

$$-i\frac{\alpha_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}u^\varepsilon + \Delta u^\varepsilon + \frac{n(x)^2}{\varepsilon^2}u^\varepsilon = S^\varepsilon(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{1.1}$$

with

$$S^\varepsilon(x) = S_0^\varepsilon(x) + S_1^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}S_0\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\Big) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}S_1\Big(\frac{x-q_1}{\varepsilon}\Big)$$

where q_1 is a point in $\mathbb{R}^3$ different from the origin.
In the sequel, we assume that the refraction index n is constant, $n(x) \equiv 1$.

The equation (1.1) modelizes the propagation of a source wave in a medium with scaled refraction index $n(x)^2/\varepsilon^2$. There, the small positive parameter ε is related to the frequency $\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon}$ of u^ε. In this paper, we study the high frequency limit, i.e. the asymptotics $\varepsilon \to 0$. We assume that the regularizing parameter α_ε is positive, with $\alpha_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The positivity of α_ε ensures the existence and uniqueness of a solution u^ε to the Helmholtz equation (1.1) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

The source term S^ε models a source signal that is the sum of two source signals concentrating respectively close to the origin and close to the point q_1 at the scale ε. The concentration profiles S_0 and S_1 are given functions. Since ε is also the scale of the oscillations dictated by the Helmholtz operator $\Delta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$, resonant interactions can occur between these oscillations and the oscillations due to the sources S_0^ε and S_1^ε. On the other hand, since the two sources are concentrating close to two different points in $\mathbb{R}^3$, one can guess that they do not interact when $\varepsilon \to 0$. These are the phenomena that the present paper aims at studying quantitatively. We refer to Section 3 for the precise assumptions we need on the sources.

In some sense, the sign of the term $-i\alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon u^\varepsilon$ prescribes a radiation condition at infinity for u^ε. One of the key difficulty in our problem is to follow this condition in the limiting process $\varepsilon \to 0$.

We study the high frequency limit in terms of Wigner measures (or semi-classical measures). This is a mean to describe the propagation of quadratic quantities, like the local energy density $|u^\varepsilon(x)|^2$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The Wigner measure $\mu(x,\xi)$ is the energy carried by rays at the point x with frequency ξ. These measures were introduced by Wigner [14] and then developed by P. Gérard [6] and P.-L. Lions and T. Paul [9] (see also the surveys [3] and [7]). They are relevant when a typical length ε is prescribed. They have already proven to be an efficient tool in the study of high frequencies, see for instance [2], [4] for Helmholtz equations, P. Gérard, P.A. Markowich, N.J. Mauser, F. Poupaud [7] for periodic media, G. Papanicolaou, L. Ryzhik [11] for a formal analysis of general wave equations, L. Erdös, H.T. Yau [5] for an approach linked to statistical physics, and L. Miller [10] for a study in the case with sharp interface.

Such problems of high frequency limit of Helmholtz equations have been studied in Benamou, Castella, Katsaounis, Perthame [2] and Castella, Perthame, Runborg [4]. In [2], the authors considered the case of one point source and a general index of refraction whereas in [4], they treated the case of a source concentrating close to a general manifold with a constant refraction index. In the present paper, we borrow the methods used in both articles.

In the case of one point source, for instance S_0^ε only, with a constant index of refraction, it is proved in [2] that the corresponding Wigner measure μ_0 is the solution to the Liouville equation

$$0^+\mu_0(x,\xi) + \xi\cdot\nabla_x\mu_0(x,\xi) = Q_0(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\delta(x)\delta(|\xi|^2-1)|\widehat{S_0}(\xi)|^2,$$

the term 0^+ meaning that μ is the outgoing solution given by

$$\mu_0(x,\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 Q_0(x+t\xi,\xi)dt.$$

In particular, the energy source created by S_0^ε is supported at $x=0$. Similarly, the energy source created by the source S_1^ε is supported at $x=q_1$. Thinking of the orthogonality property on Wigner measures, one can guess that the energy source generated by the sum $S_0^\varepsilon + S_1^\varepsilon$ is the sum of the two energy sources created asymptotically by S_0^ε and S_1^ε.

Indeed, we prove in this paper that the Wigner measure μ associated with the sequence (u^ε) satisfies

$$0^+\mu(x,\xi) + \xi\cdot\nabla_x\mu(x,\xi) = Q_0 + Q_1, \tag{1.2}$$

where Q_0 and Q_1 are the source terms obtained in [2] in the case of one point source. However, our proof does not rest on the mere orthogonality property.

Let us now give some details about our proof. Our strategy is borrowed from [2]. First, we prove uniform estimates on the sequence of solutions (u^ε). We also study the limiting behaviour fo the rescaled solutions $\varepsilon^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon x)$ and $\varepsilon^{\frac{d-1}{2}}u^\varepsilon(q_1+\varepsilon x)$. The obtention of these first two results is the key difficulty in our paper. It relies on the study of the sequence (a^ε) such that

$$-i\alpha_\varepsilon \varepsilon a^\varepsilon + \Delta a^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon = S_1\Big(x - \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\Big).$$

Using the explicit formula for the Fourier tranform of a^ε, we prove that a^ε is uniformly bounded in a suitable space and that $a^\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ weakly. We would like to point out that our analysis, based on a study in Fourier space, strongly rests on the assumption of a constant index of refraction.
Second, our results on the Wigner measure then follow from the properties proved in [2]. They are essentially consequences of the uniform bounds on (u^ε): we write the equation satisfied by the Wigner transform associated with (u^ε), and pass to the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the various terms that appear in this equation. The only difficult (and new) term to handle is the source term.
Third, we prove an improved version of the radiation condition of [2]. Our argument relies on the observation that μ is localized on the energy set $\{|\xi|^2 = 1\}$, a property that was not exploited in [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and state our assumptions. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of uniform bounds on the sequence of solutions (u^ε) and of the convergence of the rescaled solutions. Then, in Section 4, we establish the transport equation satisfied by the Wigner measure μ together with the radiation condition at infinity. In the appendix, we recall the proof of some results established in [2] that we use in our paper.

2. Notations and assumptions

In this section, we recall the definitions of Wigner transforms and of the B, B^* norms introduced by Agmon and Hörmander [1] for the study of Helmholtz equations. Then, we give our assumptions.

2.1. **Wigner transform and Wigner measures.** We use the following definition for the Fourier transform:

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}u)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\cdot\xi}u(x)dx.$$

For $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the Wigner transform

$$\begin{aligned} W^\varepsilon(u,v)(x,\xi) &= (\mathcal{F}_{y\to\xi})(u\big(x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\big)\bar{v}\big(x - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\big)), \\ W^\varepsilon(u) &= W^\varepsilon(u,u). \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we denote $W^\varepsilon = W^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)$.

If (u^ε) is a bounded sequence in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it turns out that (see [6], [9]), up to extracting a subsequence, the sequence $(W^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon))$ converges weakly to a positive Radon measure μ on the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_\xi$ called Wigner measure (or semiclassical measure) associated with (u^ε):

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6),\ \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle W^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon), \varphi\rangle = \int \varphi(x,\xi)d\mu \tag{2.1}$$

We recall that these measures can be obtained using pseudodifferential operators. The Weyl semiclassical operator $a^W(x, \varepsilon D_x)$ (or $Op^W_\varepsilon(a)$) is the continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ associated with the symbol $a \in \mathcal{S}'(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Weyl quantization rule

$$(a^W(x,\varepsilon D_x)u)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \varepsilon\xi\right) f(y) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} d\xi dy. \tag{2.2}$$

We have the following formula: for $u, v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\langle W^\varepsilon(u,v), a\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}} = \langle \bar{v}, a^W(x,\varepsilon D_x)\bar{u}\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where the duality brackets $\langle ., .\rangle$ are semi-linear with respect to the second argument. This formula is also valid for u, v lying in other spaces as we will see in Section 3.

2.2. **Besov-like norms.** In order to get uniform (in ε) bounds on the sequence (u^ε), we shall use the following Besov-like norms, introduced by Agmon and Hörmander [1]: for $u, f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B^*} &= \sup_{j\geq -1} \left(2^{-j} \int_{C(j)} |u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}, \\ \|f\|_B &= \sum_{j\geq -1} \left(2^{j+1} \int_{C(j)} |f|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(j)$ denotes the ring $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 / 2^j \leq |x| < 2^{j+1}\}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $C(-1)$ is the unit ball.

These norms are adapted to the study of Helmholtz operators. Indeed, if v is the solution to

$$-i\alpha v + \Delta v + v = f$$

where $\alpha > 0$, then Agmon and Hörmander [1] proved that there exists a constant C independent of α such that

$$\|v\|_{B^*} \leq C\|f\|_B.$$

Perthame and Vega [12] generalised this result to Helmholtz equations with general indices of refraction.

We denote for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $|x| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 x_j^2}$ and $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$.
For all $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} := \|\langle x\rangle^{-\delta} u\|_{L^2} \leq C(\delta)\|u\|_{B^*}. \tag{2.4}$$

We end this section by stating two properties of these spaces that will be useful for our purpose (the reader can find the proofs in [1]). The first proposition states that, in some sense, we can define the trace of a function in B on a linear manifold of codimension 1.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a constant C such that for all $f \in B$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(x_1, .)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dx_1 \leq C\|f\|_B.$$

The second property gives the stability of the space B by change of variables in Fourier space.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $\Omega_1,\ \Omega_2$ *be two open sets in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\psi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ *a* $\mathcal{C}^2$ *diffeomorphism,* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^1_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$*. For all* $u \in B$*, we denote*

$$Tu = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(\chi(\hat{u} \circ \psi)\big).$$

Then

$$\|Tu\|_B \leq C\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{C}^1_b}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2_b}\|u\|_B.$$

2.3. **Assumptions.** We are now ready to state our assumptions. Our first assumption, borrowed from [2], concerns the regularizing parameter $\alpha_\varepsilon > 0$.

(H1) $\alpha_\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon^\gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

This assumption is technical and is used to get a radiation condition at infinity in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. Next, in order to get uniform bounds on u^ε, we assume that the source terms S_0 and S_1 belong to the natural Besov space that is needed to actually solve the Helmholtz equation (1.1).

(H2) $\|S_0\|_B, \|S_1\|_B < \infty$.

It turns out that, in order to compute the limit of the energy source, we shall need the stronger assumption

(H3) $\langle x\rangle^N S_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\langle x\rangle^N S_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $N > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\gamma}{\gamma+1}$.

3. Bounds on solutions to Helmholtz equations

In this section, we first establish uniform bounds on the sequence (u^ε) that will imply estimates on the sequence of Wigner transforms (W^ε). It turns out that we shall also need to compute the limit of the rescaled solutions w_0^ε and w_1^ε defined below in order to obtain the energy source in the equation satisfied by the Wigner measure μ.

Before stating our two results, let us define these rescaled solutions. Following [2] and [4], we denote

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} w_0^\varepsilon(x) &=& \varepsilon^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon x), \\ w_1^\varepsilon(x) &=& \varepsilon^{\frac{d-1}{2}} u^\varepsilon(q_1 + \varepsilon x). \end{array}\right. \tag{3.1}$$

They respectively satisfy

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} -i\alpha_\varepsilon \varepsilon w_0^\varepsilon + \Delta w_0^\varepsilon + w_0^\varepsilon &=& S_0(x) + S_1\big(x - \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\big), \\ -i\alpha_\varepsilon \varepsilon w_1^\varepsilon + \Delta w_1^\varepsilon + w_1^\varepsilon &=& S_0\big(x + \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\big) + S_1(x). \end{array}\right.$$

We are ready to state our results on u^ε, w_0^ε and w_1^ε.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume* $S_0,\ S_1 \in B$*. Then, the solution* u^ε *to the Helmholtz equation (1.1) satisfies the following bound*

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_{B^*} \leq C(\|S_0\|_B + \|S_1\|_B),$$

where C *is a constant independent of* ε*.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let* w_0^ε *and* w_1^ε *be the rescaled solutions defined by (3.1). Then, the sequences* (w_0^ε) *and* (w_1^ε) *are uniformly bounded in* B^* *and they converge weakly-∗ in* B^* *to the outgoing solutions* w_0 *and* w_1 *to the following Helmholtz equations*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \Delta w_0 + w_0 = S_0 \\ \Delta w_1 + w_1 = S_1, \end{array}\right.$$

i.e. w_0 *and* w_1 *are given in Fourier space by*

$$\widehat{w_j}(\xi) = \frac{-\widehat{S_j}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2 - 1 + i0} = -\Big(p.v.\big(\frac{1}{|\xi|^2-1}\big) + i\pi\delta(|\xi|^2-1)\Big)\widehat{S_j}(\xi), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

REMARK. The Helmholtz equation $\Delta w + w = S$ does not uniquely specify the solution w. An extra condition is necessary, for instance the Sommerfeld radiation condition. When the refraction index is constant equal to 1, this condition writes

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r}\int_{S_r} \Big|\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + iw\Big|^2 d\sigma = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Such a solution is called an outgoing solution.
Alternatively, still assuming that the refraction index is constant, the outgoing solution to the Helmholtz equation may be defined as the weak limit w of the sequence (w^δ) such that

$$-i\delta w^\delta + \Delta w^\delta + w^\delta = S(x).$$

We point out that the two points of views are equivalent in the case of a constant index of refraction (which is not true for a general index of refraction).

We prove the two propositions in the following two sections. As we will see in the proofs, our main difficulties are linked to the rays that are emitted by the source at 0 towards the point q_1 (and conversely). Hopefully, the interaction between those rays is "destructive" and not constructive.

3.1. **Proof of Proposition 3.1.**

In the sequel, C will denote any constant independent of ε.
The scaling invariance

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_{B^*} \le \|w_0^\varepsilon\|_{B^*},$$

makes it sufficient to prove bounds on w_0^ε. Since w_0^ε is a solution to

$$-i\alpha_\varepsilon \varepsilon w_0^\varepsilon + \Delta w_0^\varepsilon + w_0^\varepsilon = S_0(x) + S_1\big(x - \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\big)$$

we may decompose $w_0^\varepsilon = \widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon} + a^\varepsilon$, where $\widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon}$ and a^ε satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -i\alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon\widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon} + \Delta\widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon} + \widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon} &= S_0(x), \\ -i\alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon a^\varepsilon + \Delta a^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon &= S_1\big(x - \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\big). \end{cases}$$

First, we note that the bound $\|\widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon}\|_{B^*} \le C\|S_0\|_B$ is established in Agmon-Hörmander [1] (see also Perthame-Vega [12]). Hence, the proof of Proposition 3.1 reduces to the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *If* a^ε *is the solution to*

$$-i\alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon a^\varepsilon + \Delta a^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon = S_1\big(x - \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\big)$$

then a^ε *is uniformly (in* ε*) bounded in* $B^\star$*:*

$$\|a^\varepsilon\|_{B^*} \le C\|S_1\|_B$$

Proof. We want to prove that

$$\forall v \in B, \quad |\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle| \leq C\|S_1\|_B\|v\|_B.$$

Using Parseval's equality, we write

$$\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1\cdot\xi}{\varepsilon}}\widehat{S_1}(\xi)\bar{\hat{v}}(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi. \tag{3.3}$$

To estimate this integral, we shall distinguish the values of ξ close to or far from two critical sets: the sphere $\{|\xi|^2 = 1\}$ (the set where the denominator in (3.3) vanishes when $\varepsilon \to 0$) and the line $\{\xi$ collinear to $x_0\}$ (the set where we cannot apply directly the stationary phase theorem to (3.3)).

More precisely, we first take a small parameter $\delta \in]0,1[$, and we distinguish in the integral (3.3), the contributions due to the values of ξ such that $|\xi^2-1| \geq \delta$ or $|\xi^2-1| \leq \delta$. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a truncation function such that $\chi(\lambda) = 0$ for $|\lambda| \geq 1$. We denote $\chi_\delta(\xi) = \chi\big(\frac{|\xi|^2-1}{\delta}\big)$. We accordingly decompose

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\widehat{S_1}(\xi)\bar{\hat{v}}(\xi)\chi_\delta(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\widehat{S_1}(\xi)\bar{\hat{v}}(\xi)(1-\chi_\delta(\xi))}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi \\ &= I^\varepsilon + II^\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

First, since the denominator is not singular on the support of χ_δ, we easily bound the first part with the L^2 norms

$$|I^\varepsilon| \leq \frac{\|\chi\|_{L^\infty}}{\delta}\|\widehat{S_1}\|_{L^2}\|\hat{v}\|_{L^2},$$

and using $B \hookrightarrow L^2$, we obtain the desired bound

$$|I^\varepsilon| \leq C\|S_1\|_B\|v\|_B. \tag{3.4}$$

Let us now study the second part II^ε where the denominator is singular. Up to a rotation, we may assume $q_1 = |q_1|e_1$, where e_1 is the first vector of the canonical base. We make the polar change of variables

$$\xi = \left\{\begin{array}{l} r\sin\theta\cos\varphi \\ r\sin\theta\sin\varphi \\ r\cos\theta \end{array}\right. .$$

Remark. In order to make the calculations easier, we write this paper in dimension equal to 3, but the proof would be similar in any dimension $d \geq 3$.

Hence, $q_1\cdot\xi = |q_1|r\sin\theta\cos\varphi$, and we get

$$II^\varepsilon = \int \frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r\sin\theta\cos\varphi}}{-r^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{S_1}\bar{\hat{v}}(1-\chi_\delta)\big)(\xi(r,\theta,\varphi))r^2\sin\theta drd\theta d\varphi$$

Now, we distinguish the contributions to the integral $d\theta d\phi$ linked to the values close to, or far from, the critical direction $\{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2},\ \varphi = 0\}$ (which corresponds to the case $\{\xi$ collinear to $q_1\}$). To that purpose, let $\eta > 0$ be a small parameter and denote

$$K = \left\{(r,\theta,\phi)\ \middle|\ 1-\chi\Big(\frac{r^2-1}{\delta}\Big) \neq 0, \chi\left(\frac{\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}}{\eta}\right) \neq 0, \chi\Big(\frac{\varphi}{\eta}\Big) \neq 0\right\}.$$

Then K is a compact set. Let $k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ be such that $(1-\chi_\delta)k(\theta,\varphi)$ is a localization function on K. We write

$$\begin{array}{rcl} II^\varepsilon &=& \displaystyle\int \frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon} r \sin\theta\cos\varphi}}{-r^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} (\widehat{S_1}\bar{\hat{v}})(\xi(r,\theta,\varphi))(1-\chi_\delta(r))k(\theta,\varphi) r^2 \sin\theta dr d\theta d\varphi \\ &+& \displaystyle\int \frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon} r \sin\theta\cos\varphi}}{-r^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} (\widehat{S_1}\bar{\hat{v}})(\xi(r,\theta,\varphi))(1-\chi_\delta(r))(1-k(\theta,\varphi)) r^2 \sin\theta dr d\theta d\varphi \\ II^\varepsilon &=& III^\varepsilon + IV^\varepsilon. \end{array}$$

To estimate the contribution III^ε, we apply the stationary phase method. We denote $\alpha = \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}$. The phase function is $g_r(\alpha,\varphi) = r\cos\alpha\cos\varphi$ so

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} \dfrac{\partial g_r}{\partial \alpha} &=& -r\sin\alpha\cos\varphi &=& 0 \quad \text{at } (\alpha,\varphi)=(0,0), \\ \dfrac{\partial g_r}{\partial \varphi} &=& -r\cos\alpha\sin\varphi &=& 0 \quad \text{at } (\alpha,\varphi)=(0,0), \end{array}$$

and the Hessian at the point $(0,0)$ is

$$D^2 g_r(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} -r & 0 \\ 0 & -r \end{pmatrix},$$

which is invertible at any point in K. Since K is a compact set, we can apply the Morse lemma: there exists a finite covering $(\Omega_j)_{j=1,n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) of K such that on each set Ω_j, there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ change of variables $(\alpha,\varphi) \mapsto (\alpha_j,\varphi_j)$ such that

$$g_r(\alpha,\varphi) = r - r\frac{\alpha_j^2}{2} - r\frac{\varphi_j^2}{2}.$$

Moreover, we can write $(1-\chi_\delta)k = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j$ where $\chi_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ and $supp(\chi_j) \subset \Omega_j$. Then, we make the changes of variables $\alpha_j' = \sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}\alpha_j$, $\varphi_j' = \sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}\varphi_j$. Finally, we decompose $\chi_j = \chi_j^1\chi_j^2$. Thus, we obtain, for the contribution III^ε, the formula

$$III^\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{e^{i\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}(-r+\alpha_j'^2+\varphi_j'^2)}}{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} \widehat{T_j^1 S_1}(r,\alpha_j',\varphi_j') \overline{\widehat{T_j^2 v}}(r,\alpha_j',\varphi_j') dr d\alpha_j' d\varphi_j', \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_j^1 S_1 &:=& \mathcal{F}\Big((\chi_j^1\widehat{S_1}) \circ \xi(r,\alpha(\alpha_j,\varphi_j),\varphi(\alpha_j,\varphi_j))\Big), \\ T_j^2 v &:=& \mathcal{F}\Big(\dfrac{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}{-r^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}(\chi_j^2\hat{v}) \circ \xi(r,\alpha(\alpha_j,\varphi_j),\varphi(\alpha_j,\varphi_j)) \\ && \qquad \times \dfrac{2}{r}\Big|\dfrac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}(r,\alpha,\varphi)}\Big|\Big|\dfrac{\mathrm{d}(\alpha,\varphi)}{\mathrm{d}(\alpha_j,\varphi_j)}\Big|\Big). \end{array}$$

As a first step, using Proposition 2.2, we directly get $T_j^1 S_1 \in B$ with

$$\|T_j^1 S_1\|_B \le C\|S_1\|_B.$$

As a second step, we study $T_j^2 v$. Since for r close to 1,

$$\Big|\frac{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}{-r^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}\Big| \le 1,$$

we recover, from Proposition 2.2,

$$T_j^2 v \in B \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|T_j^2 v\|_B \le C\|v\|_B.$$

Now, we apply Parseval's equality with respect to the r variable in the formula (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} III^{\varepsilon} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \frac{e^{i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}(\alpha_j'^2+\varphi_j'^2)}}{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} \widehat{T_j^1 S_1(. - \frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon},.,.)}\overline{\widehat{T_j^2 v}} dr d\alpha_j' d\varphi_j' \\ &= \int e^{i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}(\alpha_j'^2+\varphi_j'^2)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\rho>0\}} e^{-(\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon - i)t} \mathcal{F}_{r\to\rho}(\widehat{T_j^1 S_1(. - \frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon},.,.)})(\rho - t, \alpha_j', \varphi_j') \\ &\qquad \times \mathcal{F}_{r\to\rho}(\overline{\widehat{T_j^2 v}})(\rho, \alpha_j', \varphi_j') dt d\rho d\alpha_j' d\varphi_j'. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\{\rho>0\}}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $\{\rho > 0\}$.
Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |III^{\varepsilon}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\int \| \mathcal{F}_{r\to\rho}(\widehat{T_j^1 S_1(. - \frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon},.,.)})(\rho)) \|_{L^2} d\rho \right) \\ &\qquad \times \left(\int \| \mathcal{F}_{r\to\rho}(\widehat{T_j^2 v})(\rho) \|_{L^2} d\rho \right) \\ |III^{\varepsilon}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Big(\int \| T_j^1 S_1(\rho - \frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}) \|_{L^2} d\rho \Big) \Big(\int \| T_j^2 v(\rho) \|_{L^2} d\rho \Big) \\ |III^{\varepsilon}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Big(\int \| T_j^1 S_1(\rho) \|_{L^2} d\rho \Big) \Big(\int \| T_j^2 v(\rho) \|_{L^2} d\rho \Big) \\ |III^{\varepsilon}| &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \| T_j^1 S_1 \|_B \| T_j^2 v \|_B \\ |III^{\varepsilon}| &\leq C \| S_1 \|_B \| v \|_B, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate.

We are left with the part IV^{ε}, which corresponds to the directions ξ that are not collinear to q_1. We denote K' the support of $(1-\chi_\delta)(1-k)$ which is a compact set. In K', we can choose as new independent variables

$$\eta_1 = -q_1 \cdot \xi, \quad \eta_2 = |\xi|^2 - 1.$$

More precisely, since

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{\mathrm{d}\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 \\ 2\xi \end{pmatrix}$$

is of maximal rank 2, there exists a finite covering $(\Omega_j')_{j=1,m}$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$ of K' such that in Ω_j', we can make the change of variables $\xi \mapsto \eta$. As before, we denote $\chi_j' = \chi_j^3 \chi_j^4$ some localization functions on Ω_j' such that $(1-\chi_\delta)(1-k) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \chi_j'$. Thus, for $j = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$\int \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}}{-|\xi|^2 + 1 + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} \widehat{S_1}\overline{\hat{v}}\chi_j' d\xi = \int \frac{e^{i\frac{\eta_2}{\varepsilon}}}{-\eta_1 + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} (\widehat{S_1}\overline{\hat{v}}\chi_j')(\xi(\eta)) \Big| \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \Big| d\eta.$$

If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} T_j^3 S_1 &:= \mathcal{F}^{-1}((\chi_j^3 \widehat{S_1}) \circ \xi), \\ T_j^4 v &:= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big((\chi_j^4 \hat{v}) \circ \xi)\Big|\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\Big|\big), \end{aligned}$$

and if $\mathcal{F}_1$ denotes the Fourier transform with respect to the η_1 variable, Parseval's equality with respect to η_1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}}{-|\xi|^2+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}\widehat{S_1}\overline{\hat{v}}\chi_j' d\xi\right| &= (2\pi)^d\Big|\int \chi_{\{t>0\}}e^{-\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon t}(\mathcal{F}_1^{-1}(\widehat{T_j^3 S_1}))(x_1-t)\\ &\qquad \times(\mathcal{F}_1^{-1}(\overline{\widehat{T_j^4 v}}))(x_1)e^{i\eta_2/\varepsilon}dtdx_1d\eta_2d\eta_3\Big|\\ &\leq C\|S_1\|_B\|v\|_B.\end{aligned}$$

Summing over j, we obtain

$$|IV^\varepsilon| \leq C\|S_1\|_B\|v\|_B,$$

which ends the proof of the bound

$$|\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle| \leq C\|S_1\|_B\|v\|_B.$$

□

3.2. **Proof of Proposition 3.2.** We prove the result for the sequence (w_0^ε), the convergence of the sequence (w_1^ε) can be obtained similarly. As we did in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we write $w_0^\varepsilon = \widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon} + a^\varepsilon$. Since $\widetilde{w_0^\varepsilon}$ is the solution to a Helmholtz equation with constant index of refraction and fixed source, it converges weakly-$*$ to the outgoing solution w_0 to $\Delta w + w = S_0$. Hence, it suffices to show the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *If* $a^\varepsilon \in B^\star$ *is the solution to*

$$-i\alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon a^\varepsilon + \Delta a^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon = S_1\big(x - \frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\big)$$

then $a^\varepsilon \to 0$ *in* $B^\star$.

Proof. The proof of this result requires two steps (using a density argument):

(1) for $v \in B$, we have the bound $\big|\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle\big| \leq C\|S_1\|_B\|v\|_B$
(2) if S_1 and v are smooth, then $\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle \to 0$.

The first point is exactly the result in Lemma 3.3. It remains to prove the convergence in the smooth case (the second point above).
We write

$$\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\widehat{S_1}(\xi)\bar{\hat{v}}(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi.$$

We are thus left with the study of

$$R_\varepsilon(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\psi(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi \tag{3.6}$$

where $\psi = \widehat{S_1}\overline{\hat{v}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we distinguish the contributions of various values of ξ. We shall use exactly the same partition, according to the values of ξ close to, or far from, the sphere $|\xi| = 1$ and collinear or not to q_1. We shall use the same

notations for the various truncation functions.
We first separate the contributions of ξ such that $|\xi^2-1|\leq\delta$ and $|\xi^2-1|\geq\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon(\psi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\psi(\xi)\chi_\delta(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\psi(\xi)(1-\chi_\delta(\xi))}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}d\xi \\ &= I^\varepsilon+II^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In the support of χ_δ, since the denominator is not singular, we can apply the non stationary phase method.
Since $q_1\neq0$, we may assume $q_1^1\neq0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} I^\varepsilon &= \frac{\varepsilon}{iq_1^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\partial_{\xi_1}\left(\frac{\psi(\xi)\chi_\delta(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}\right)d\xi \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{iq_1^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}\left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_1}(\psi(\xi)\chi_\delta(\xi))}{-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}-\frac{2\psi(\xi)\chi_\delta(\xi)\xi_1}{(-|\xi|^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the bound

$$|I^\varepsilon| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|q_1^1|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}|\partial_{\xi_1}(\chi\psi)|+\frac{2}{\delta^2}|\xi_1\chi\psi|\right)d\xi.$$

Since $\partial_{\xi_1}(\chi\psi)$ and $\xi_1\chi\psi$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$, we have, as $\varepsilon\to0$,

$$I^\varepsilon\to0.$$

Let us now study the second term II^ε. We use the same changes of variables as in Section 3.1. It leads to the following formula

$$II^\varepsilon=\sum_{j=1}^n\int\frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}(r-\alpha_j'^2-\varphi_j'^2)}}{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}\widetilde{\chi_j}(r,\alpha_j',\varphi_j')\widetilde{\psi}(r,\alpha_j',\varphi_j')drd\alpha_j'd\varphi_j',$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\chi_j}(r,\alpha_j',\varphi_j') &= \chi_j\circ\xi(r,\alpha(\alpha_j',\varphi_j'),\varphi(\alpha_j',\varphi_j'))\frac{2(-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)}{r(-r^2+1-i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)}\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}(\alpha,\varphi)}{\mathrm{d}(\alpha_j,\varphi_j)}\right|, \\ \widetilde{\psi}(r,\alpha_j',\varphi_j') &= \psi\circ\xi(r,\alpha(\alpha_j',\varphi_j'),\varphi(\alpha_j',\varphi_j')), \end{aligned}$$

are still smooth functions that are bounded independently from ε.
Using Parseval's inequality with respect to the variables (α_j',φ_j') for each integral, we obtain the bound

$$|II^\varepsilon|\leq C\varepsilon\sum_{j=1}^n\left|\int\frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r}e^{-i\varepsilon(\lambda_j^2+\mu_j^2)}}{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_j,\mu_j}(\widetilde{\chi_j}\widetilde{\psi})drd\lambda_jd\mu_j\right|.$$

To obtain the convergence of II^ε, it remains to study an integral of the following type

$$\int_{|r-1|\leq\delta}\frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r}w(r)}{-r+1+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}dr,\ \text{ where } w\in\mathcal{S}.$$

This is done in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. $\forall w\in\mathcal{S},\ \forall\theta\in(0,1)$, *we have*

$$\int_{|r|\leq\delta}\frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r}w(r)}{-r+i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}dr=-i\pi w(0)+O_{\varepsilon\to0}(\varepsilon^{-\theta}).$$

Using this lemma, we readily get the estimate

$$|III^\varepsilon| \le C\varepsilon^{1-\theta} \quad \forall \theta \in (0,1), \tag{3.7}$$

which proves that $III^\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

There remains to give the
Proof of Lemma 3.5. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} w(r)}{-r + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} dr &= \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} w(r)}{r^2 + (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2} (r - i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon) dr \\
&= -i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} w(r)}{r^2 + (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2} dr + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} \frac{r w(r)}{r^2 + (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2} dr \\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$I = -i \int_{-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{-i|q_1|\alpha_\varepsilon y} w(\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon y)}{y^2 + 1} dy \to -i\pi w(0),$$

and

$$II = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \big(e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} w(r) - w(0)\big) \frac{r}{r^2 + (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2} dr + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} w(0) \frac{r}{r^2 + (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2} dr \ .$$

The last term vanishes because the integrand is odd. Moreover, using the smoothness of w, we easily obtain that for all $\theta \in (0,1)$,

$$\big|e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} w(r) - w(0)\big| \le C_\theta \Big(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\Big)^\theta$$

Thus,

$$\Big| \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \big(e^{-i\frac{|q_1|}{\varepsilon}r} w(r) - w(0)\big) \frac{r}{r^2 + (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^2} dr \Big| \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^\theta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |r|^{\theta - 1} dr$$

and the result is proved. □

We are left with the study of IV^ε. We use the same change of variables as in Section 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
IV^\varepsilon &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int \frac{e^{-i\frac{q_1}{\varepsilon}\cdot\xi}}{-|\xi|^2 + 1 + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} \psi(\xi)\chi_j(\xi) d\xi \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int \frac{e^{i\frac{\eta_2}{\varepsilon}}}{-\eta_1 + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} (\psi\chi_j)(\xi(\eta)) \Big|\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\Big| d\eta \\
&= i\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int \frac{e^{i\frac{\eta_2}{\varepsilon}}}{-\eta_1 + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} \partial_{\eta_1} \Big((\psi\chi_j)(\xi(\eta)) \Big|\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\Big| \Big) d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral obviously converges with respect to all the variables except η_1. It remains to prove the convergence with respect to the η_1 variable, i.e. the convergence of

$$\int \frac{\phi(\eta)}{-\eta_1 + i\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon} d\eta_1,$$

where

$$\phi = \partial_{\eta_1} \Big((\psi\chi_j)(\xi(\eta)) \Big|\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\Big| \Big)$$

is smooth and compactly supported with respect to η. It is a consequence of the fact that the distribution $(x+i0)^{-1}$ is well-defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\frac{1}{x+i0} = v.p.(\frac{1}{x}) - i\pi\delta(x).$$

We conclude that $IV^\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\langle a^\varepsilon, v\rangle \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. $\square$

4. Transport equation and radiation condition on μ

In this section, we state and prove our results on the Wigner measure associated with (u^ε). Since we established the uniform bounds on (u^ε) and the convergence of (w_0^ε), (w_1^ε), these results now essentially follows from the results proved in [2]. We first prove bounds on the sequence of Wigner transforms (W^ε) that allow us to define a Wigner measure μ associated to (u^ε). Then, we get the transport equation satisfied by μ together with the radiation condition at infinity, which uniquely determines μ.

4.1. **Results.**

Theorem 4.1. *Let S_0, $S_1 \in B$ and $\lambda > 0$. The sequence (W^ε) is bounded in the Banach space $X_\lambda^\star$ and up to extracting a subsequence, it converges weak-$\star$ to a positive and locally bounded measure μ such that*

$$\sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R}\int_{|x|<R}\int_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3} \mu(x,\xi)\, dx d\xi \leq C(\|S_0\|_B + \|S_1\|_B)^2. \tag{4.1}$$

The Banach space X_λ^* is defined as the dual space of the set X_λ of functions $\hat\varphi(x,\xi)$ such that $\varphi(x,y) := \mathcal{F}_{\xi\to y}(\hat\varphi(x,\xi))$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|x|+|y|)^{1+\lambda}|\varphi(x,y)|dy < \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Assume (H1), (H2), (H3). Then the Wigner measure μ asssociated with (u^ε) satisfies the following transport equation*

$$\xi\cdot\nabla_x\mu = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\Big(\delta(x)|\widehat{S_0}(\xi)|^2 + \delta(x-q_1)|\widehat{S_1}(\xi)|^2\Big)\delta(|\xi|^2-1) := Q(x). \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, μ is the outgoing solution to the equation (4.3) in the following sense: for all test function $R \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$, if we denote $g(x,\xi) = \int_0^\infty R(x-\xi t,\xi)dt$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} R(x,\xi)d\mu(x,\xi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} Q(x,\xi)g(x,\xi)dxd\xi. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark. Here the support of the test function R contains 0, contrary to [2].

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** This theorem, that is proved in [2], is a consequence of the uniform estimate on the sequence (u^ε) in the space B^* obtained in Proposition 3.1. We observe that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\lambda}u^\varepsilon(x)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|u^\varepsilon\|_{B^*} \leq C\|f\|_B, \tag{4.5}$$

hence, for any function φ satisfying (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\langle W^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon),\hat\varphi\rangle|\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^6}\frac{|u^\varepsilon|(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)|\overline{u^\varepsilon}|(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)}{\langle x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\rangle^{\frac12+0}\langle x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\rangle^{\frac12+0}}\langle x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\rangle^{\frac12+0}\langle x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\rangle^{\frac12+0}|\varphi|(x,y)dxdy\\ &\le C\|f\|_B^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\langle|x|+|y|\rangle^{1+0}|\varphi(x,y)|dy.\end{aligned}$$

So $(W^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon))$ is bounded in X_λ^*, $\lambda>0$. We deduce that, up to extracting a subsequence, $(W^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon))$ converges weak-$*$ to a nonnegative measure μ satisfying

$$|\langle\mu,\hat\varphi\rangle|\le C\|f\|_B^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\langle|x|+|y|\rangle^{1+0}|\varphi(x,y)|dy. \tag{4.6}$$

We refer for instance to Lions, Paul [9] for the proof of the nonnegativity of μ. The bound (4.1) is obtained using the following family of functions

$$\varphi_\mu^R(x,y)=\frac{1}{\mu^{3/2}}e^{-|y|^2/\mu}\frac{1}{R}\chi(\langle x\rangle\le R)$$

and letting $\mu\to0$, $R\to\infty$. □

4.3. **Proof of the transport equation 4.3.**

This section is devoted to the proof of the transport equation satisfied by μ. We first write the transport equation satisfied by W^ε in a dual form. Then, we study the convergence of the source term (the convergence of the other terms is obvious). Finally, choosing an appropriate test function in the limiting process, we get the radiation condition at infinity satisfied by μ. Proving first a localization property, we improve the radiation condition proved in [2].

4.3.1. *Transport equation satisfied by W^ε.* W^ε satisfies the following equation

$$\alpha_\varepsilon W^\varepsilon+\xi\cdot\nabla_x W^\varepsilon=\frac{i\varepsilon}{2}\mathcal{I}m\ W^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon):=Q^\varepsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

This equation can be obtained writing first the equation satisfied by

$$v^\varepsilon(x,y)=u^\varepsilon\big(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\big)\overline{u^\varepsilon}\big(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\big).$$

From the equality

$$\nabla_y\cdot\nabla_x v^\varepsilon=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\big[\Delta u^\varepsilon(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)\overline{u^\varepsilon}(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)-\Delta\overline{u^\varepsilon}(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)u^\varepsilon(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)\big],$$

we deduce

$$\alpha_\varepsilon v^\varepsilon+i\nabla_y\cdot\nabla_x v^\varepsilon+\frac{i}{2\varepsilon}\big[n^2(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)-n^2(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)\big]v^\varepsilon=\sigma_\varepsilon(x,y),$$

where

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(x,y):=\frac{i\varepsilon}{2}\big[S^\varepsilon(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)\overline{u^\varepsilon}(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)-\overline{S^\varepsilon}(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)u^\varepsilon(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y)\big].$$

After a Fourier transform, we obtain the equation (4.7).
Then we write the dual form of this equation. Let $\psi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^6)$, we have

$$\alpha_\varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon,\psi\rangle-\langle W^\varepsilon,\xi\cdot\nabla_x\psi\rangle=\langle Q^\varepsilon,\psi\rangle. \tag{4.8}$$

By the definition of the Wigner measure μ, we get

$$\alpha_\varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon,\psi\rangle\to0\qquad\text{and}\qquad\langle W^\varepsilon,\xi\cdot\nabla_x\psi\rangle\to\langle\mu,\xi\cdot\nabla_x\psi\rangle.$$

Hence we are left with the study of the source term $\langle Q^\varepsilon, \psi\rangle$.

4.3.2. *Convergence of the source term.* In order to compute the limit of the source term in (4.7), we develop

$$\langle Q^\varepsilon, \psi\rangle = \frac{i\varepsilon}{2}\mathcal{I}m\Big(\langle W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle + \langle W^\varepsilon(S_1^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle\Big).$$

Thus, the result is contained in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *The sequences* $\big(\varepsilon W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)\big)$ *and* $\big(\varepsilon W^\varepsilon(S_1^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)\big)$ *are bounded in* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^6)$ *and for all* $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^6)$*, we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\widehat{w_0}}(\xi)\widehat{S_0}(\xi)\psi(0,\xi)d\xi, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon(S_1^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\widehat{w_1}}(\xi)\widehat{S_1}(\xi)\psi(q_1,\xi)d\xi, \tag{4.10}$$

where w_0 *and* w_1 *are defined in Proposition 3.2.*

Using Proposition 4.3, we readily get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle Q^\varepsilon, \psi\rangle &= \frac{i}{2(2\pi)^3}\mathcal{I}m\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\widehat{w_0}}(\xi)\widehat{S_0}(\xi)\psi(0,\xi)d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\widehat{w_1}}(\xi)\widehat{S_1}(\xi)\psi(q_1,\xi)d\xi\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{S_0}(\xi)|^2\delta(\xi^2-1)\psi(0,\xi)d\xi\\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{S_1}(\xi)|^2\delta(\xi^2-1)\psi(q_1,\xi)d\xi\bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the result in Theorem 4.2. □

Let us now prove Proposition 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.3. The two terms to study being of the same type, we only consider the first one in our proof. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi(x,y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{y\to\xi}(\psi(x,\xi))$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}} &= \varepsilon\int S_0^\varepsilon\big(x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\big)\overline{u^\varepsilon}\big(x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}y\big)\varphi(x,y)dxdy\\
&= \int S_0(x)\overline{w_0^\varepsilon}(x+y)\varphi(\varepsilon(x+\frac{y}{2}),y)dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using that $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}}\big| &\le C\int \langle x\rangle^N|S_0(x)|\frac{|w_0^\varepsilon(x+y)|}{\langle x+y\rangle^\beta}\frac{\langle x+y\rangle^\beta}{\langle x\rangle^N\langle y\rangle^k}dxdy\\
&\le C\|\langle x\rangle^N S_0\|_{L^2}\|w_0^\varepsilon\|_{B^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\langle x+y\rangle^\beta}{\langle x\rangle^N\langle y\rangle^k}dy
\end{aligned}$$

for any $k \ge 0$ and $\beta > 1/2$, upon using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in x.
Then, we distinguish the cases $|x| \le |y|$ and $|x| \ge |y|$: the term stemming from the first case gives a contribution which is bounded by $C\int \frac{dy}{\langle y\rangle^{k-\beta}}$ and the second contribution is bounded by $C\int\frac{dy}{\langle y\rangle^k}$. So, upon choosing k large enough, we obtain that

$$|\varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}',\mathcal{S}}| \le C\|\langle x\rangle^N S_0\|_{L^2}\|w^\varepsilon\|_{B^*}.$$

Now, in order to compute the limit (4.9), we write

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon\langle W^\varepsilon(S_0^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \psi\rangle &= \int S_0(x)\overline{w_0^\varepsilon}(x+y)\Big(\varphi\Big(\varepsilon\Big(x+\frac{y}{2}\Big), y\Big) - \varphi(0,y)\Big)dxdy \\ &\quad + \int \overline{w_0^\varepsilon}(x) S_0(x-y)\varphi(0,y)dxdy \\ &= I_\varepsilon + II_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Reasonning as above, we readily get that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} I_\varepsilon = 0$. For the second term, we have

$$II_\varepsilon = \int \overline{w_0^\varepsilon}(x)\big(S_0 * \varphi(0,.)\big)(x)dx,$$

hence, since w_0^ε converges weakly-$*$ in B^*, it suffices to prove that $S_0 * \varphi(0,.)$ belongs to B. We denote $\phi = \varphi(0,.)$. We have, for $\beta > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_0 * \phi\|_B &\leq C\|S_0 * \phi\|_{L^2_\beta} = C\int \langle x\rangle^\beta |S_0 * \phi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\|\phi\|_{L^1}\int \langle x\rangle^\beta |S_0|^2 * |\phi|(x)dx\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Hence, we get

$$\|S_0 * \phi\|_B \leq C\|\langle x\rangle^N S_0\|_{L^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\langle x+y\rangle^\beta}{\langle x\rangle^N\langle y\rangle^k}dy,$$

for any k. As before, this integral converges. Thus, we have established that $S_0 * \widehat{\psi}(0,.)$ belongs to B, which implies that

$$II_\varepsilon \to \int S_0(x)\overline{w_0}(x+y)\widehat{\psi}(0,y)dxdy.$$

□

4.4. **Proof of the radiation condition (4.4).** It remains to prove that μ satisfies the weak radiation condition (4.4).

4.4.1. *Support of μ.* In order to prove the radiation condition without restriction on the test function R (as assumed in [2]), we first prove a localization property on the Wigner measure μ. This property is well-known when u^ε satisfies a Helmholtz equation without source term. It is still valid here thanks to the scaling of S^ε.

Proposition 4.4. *Under the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3), the Wigner measure μ satisfies*

$$\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^6 / \ |\xi|^2 = 1\}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$ and $\phi^\varepsilon = \phi^W(x, \varepsilon D_x)$. Let us denote $H^\varepsilon = -\varepsilon^2\Delta - 1$. Since u^ε satisfies the Helmholtz equation (1.1), we have

$$i\alpha_\varepsilon \varepsilon u^\varepsilon + H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 S^\varepsilon. \tag{4.11}$$

Moreover, H^ε is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol $|\xi|^2 - 1$. By pseudodifferential calculus, $\phi^\varepsilon H^\varepsilon = Op_\varepsilon^W(\phi(x,\xi)(|\xi|^2-1)) + O(\varepsilon)$ so, using the definition of the measure μ, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}(\phi^\varepsilon H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}(Op_\varepsilon^W(\phi(x,\xi)(|\xi|^2-1))u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \\ &= \int \phi(x,\xi)(|\xi|^2-1))d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Using the equation (4.11), we write

$$(\phi^\varepsilon H^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2(\phi^\varepsilon S^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) - i\alpha_\varepsilon \varepsilon(\phi^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2(W^\varepsilon(S^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \phi) - i\alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon(\phi^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon).$$

On the first hand, Proposition 4.3 gives that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^2(W^\varepsilon(S^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon), \phi) = 0$. On the other hand, $(\phi^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon)$ is bounded so $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \alpha_\varepsilon\varepsilon(\phi^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) = 0$. Therefore, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$, we have $\int \phi(|\xi|^2 - n^2(x))d\mu = 0$, so $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \{|\xi|^2 = 1\}$. □

4.4.2. *Proof of the condition (4.4).* Using the previous localization property, in order to prove the radiation condition (4.4), one may only use test functions $R \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$ such that $\text{supp}(R) \subset \mathbb{R}^6\backslash\{\xi = 0\}$.
Let R be such a test function. We associate with R the solution g^ε to

$$-\alpha_\varepsilon g^\varepsilon + \xi \cdot \nabla_x g^\varepsilon = R(x, \xi).$$

By duality, we have

$$\langle Q^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon\rangle = \langle W^\varepsilon, R\rangle,$$

so that it sufffices to establish the following two convergences:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle Q^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon\rangle = \langle Q, g\rangle, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle W^\varepsilon, R\rangle = \langle f, R\rangle, \tag{4.13}$$

where Q et g are defined in Theorem 4.2.
As before, since $R \in X_\lambda$ for any $\lambda > 0$, the limit (4.13) follows from the weak-$*$ convergence of W^ε in $X_\lambda^\star$.
On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Q^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon\rangle \quad = \quad & \mathcal{I}m \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \overline{S_0}(x) w_0^\varepsilon(x+y)\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon[x+\frac{y}{2}], y)dxdy \\ & + \mathcal{I}m \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \overline{S_1}(x) w_1^\varepsilon(x+y)\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(q_1 + \varepsilon[x+\frac{y}{2}], y)dxdy,\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

so $\langle Q^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon\rangle$ is the sum of two terms of the same type. Such a term has been studied in [2], where the following result is proved.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume (w^ε) is bounded in B^* and that (w^ε) converges weakly-$*$ in B^* to w_0. Assume S_0 satisfy (H3). Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$ be such that supp$(R) \subset \mathbb{R}^6\backslash\{\xi = 0\}$. Let g^ε be the solution to*

$$-\alpha_\varepsilon g^\varepsilon + \xi \cdot \nabla_x g^\varepsilon = R(x, \xi)$$

and $g(x, \xi) = \int_0^\infty R(x + t\xi, \xi)dt$. Then, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \overline{S_0}(x) w_0^\varepsilon(x+y)\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon[x+\frac{y}{2}], y)dxdy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{\overline{S_0}}(\xi)\widehat{w_0}(\xi)g(0, \xi)d\xi.$$

Proof. The proof of this result is written in the appendix. □

Using the proposition above together with Proposition 3.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle Q^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{I}m\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{\overline{S_0}}(\xi)\widehat{w_0}(\xi)g(0,\xi)d\xi + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{\overline{S_1}}(\xi)\widehat{w_1}(\xi)g(q_1,\xi)d\xi\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{S_0}(\xi)|^2\delta(\xi^2 - 1)g(0,\xi)d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{S_1}(\xi)|^2\delta(\xi^2-1)g(q_1,\xi)d\xi\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the radiation condition (4.4) is proved.

Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 4.5

In the sequel, we denote

$$G^\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \overline{S_0}(x) w_0^\varepsilon(x+y)\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon[x+\frac{y}{2}],y)dxdy.$$

A.1. **Bounds on G^ε.** In order to study G^ε, we need a preliminary result on the test function g^ε.

Lemma A.1. *Let $R \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6 \setminus \{\xi = 0\})$. We denote g^ε the solution to*

$$-\alpha_\varepsilon g^\varepsilon + \xi.\nabla_x g^\varepsilon = R(x,\xi). \tag{A.1}$$

It is given by the explicit formula

$$g^\varepsilon(x,\xi) = -\int_0^\infty \exp(-\alpha_\varepsilon|\xi|^{-1}s)\frac{1}{|\xi|}R(x-\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}s,\xi)ds. \tag{A.2}$$

Then we have the estimate

$$\forall M \geq 0, \quad |\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(x,y)| \leq C\frac{\langle x\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $.\wedge.$ denotes the infimum of two numbers, and C is a constant depending on M and R.

Proof. Let a be a multiindex such that $|a| \leq M$. We denote $\omega = \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} y^a\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(x,y) &= \mathcal{F}_{\xi\to y}\Big(\int_0^\infty (i\partial_\xi)^a\Big[e^{-\alpha_\varepsilon|\xi|^{-1}s}\frac{1}{|\xi|}R(x-\omega s,\xi)\Big]ds\Big) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\xi e^{-i\xi.y}\int_{s=0}^{+\infty} ds \sum_{b,c,d,e,f} C_{(a,b,c,d,e,f)}e^{-\alpha_\varepsilon|\xi|^{-1}s}(i\partial_\xi)^b(-\alpha_\varepsilon|\xi|^{-1}s) \\ &\quad \times(-\alpha_\varepsilon|\xi|^{-1}s)^f(i\partial_\xi)^c(-s\omega)(i\partial_x)^d(i\partial_\xi)^e\Big(\frac{1}{|\xi|}R\Big)(x-\omega s,\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Using that
- $R \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6\backslash\{|\xi|=0\})$ so
  - there exists $r_0,\ A,\ B > 0$ such that $supp(R) \subset \{|x| \leq r_0\} \times \{A \leq |\xi| \leq B\}$,
  - R and its derivatives belong to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^6)$.
- $|(i\partial_\xi)^b(-\alpha_\varepsilon|\xi|^{-1}s)| \leq Cs, \quad \forall\ |\xi| \geq A.$
- $|(i\partial_\xi)^c(-s\omega)| \leq Cs, \quad \forall\ |\xi| \geq A.$
- in the integral above, $s \in [|x|-r_0, |x|+r_0]$, so for $|x|$ large enough, we can use the equivalence $s \sim |x| \sim \langle x\rangle$ (where we dente for $a,\ b > 0$, $a \sim b$ if $\exists\ c_1,\ c_2 > 0/\ c_1a < b < c_2a$), we get in (A.4),

$$|y^a\widehat{g^\varepsilon}(x,y)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^M\exp(-\frac{\alpha_\varepsilon}{B}\langle x\rangle).$$

The desired estimate follows. □

Using this lemma, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|G^\varepsilon| &\leq \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} w_0^\varepsilon(x+y) S_0(x) \widehat{g^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon(x+\frac{y}{2}), y) dx dy \Big| \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|w_0^\varepsilon(x+y)|}{\langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0}} \langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \langle x\rangle^{N_1} |S_0(x)| \langle x\rangle^{-N_1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon(x+\frac{y}{2})\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M} \\
&\leq C \|w_0^\varepsilon\|_{B^\star} \|\langle x\rangle^{N_1} S_0(x)\|_{L^2} \\
&\qquad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \langle x\rangle^{-N_1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon(|x|+|y|)\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that the integral

$$\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \langle x\rangle^{-N_1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon(|x|+|y|)\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M} dy$$

is bounded uniformly with respect to ε.
Let us define the following three subsets in $\mathbb{R}^6$

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_\varepsilon = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^6 / |x| \geq |y|\}, \quad B_\varepsilon = \{|x| \leq |y|, |\varepsilon^{1-0} y| \leq 1\}, \\
&C_\varepsilon = \{|x| \leq |y|, |\varepsilon^{1-0} y| \geq 1\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where ε^{1-0} means $\varepsilon^{1-\delta}$ with $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small.
If $(x,y) \in A_\varepsilon$, then

$$\langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \langle x\rangle^{-N_1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon(|x|+|y|)\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M} \leq C \langle x\rangle^{-N_1+\frac{1}{2}+0} \Big(\langle \varepsilon x\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M} \Big) \langle y\rangle^{-M}.$$

Now, we distinguish the relative size of $\langle \varepsilon x\rangle$ and ε^γ:

- if $\langle \varepsilon x\rangle \geq \varepsilon^{-\gamma}$, we have
$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0-N_1} \Big(\langle \varepsilon x\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M} \Big) \leq \langle x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0-N_1} \varepsilon^{-\gamma M} \leq \varepsilon^{-\gamma(\frac{1}{2}+0-N_1+M)}.$$
- if $\langle \varepsilon x\rangle \leq \varepsilon^{-\gamma}$, we have $\langle x\rangle \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\langle \varepsilon x\rangle$, hence we get
$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0-N_1} \Big(\langle \varepsilon x\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M} \Big) \leq \langle x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0-N_1} \varepsilon^{-\gamma M} \leq \varepsilon^{-\gamma M} \varepsilon^{-(\gamma+1)(\frac{1}{2}+0-N_1)}.$$

Now, we choose $1/2+0$ such that $N_1 < \frac{1}{2}+0$. Then, we get the following bound for the contribution of the set A_ε to $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$

$$C\varepsilon^{-\gamma M-(\gamma+1)(1/2+0-N_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle y\rangle^{-M} dy.$$

Since $N_1 > \frac{3\gamma}{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{2}$, we can choose $M > 3$ such that this contribution is uniformly bounded with respect to ε.
If $(x,y) \in B_\varepsilon$, then $|\varepsilon y| \leq 1$ so we obtain

$$\langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \langle x\rangle^{-N_1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon(|x|+|y|)\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M} \leq C \langle y\rangle^{-M+\frac{1}{2}+0}.$$

Thus, the corresponding contribution to $\mathcal{I}^\varepsilon$ is bounded by $C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle y\rangle^{-M+\frac{1}{2}+0} dy$ which is convergent.
If $(x,y) \in C_\varepsilon$, then

$$\langle x+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \langle x\rangle^{-N_1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon(|x|+|y|)\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M} \leq C \langle y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \frac{\langle \varepsilon y\rangle^M \wedge \alpha_\varepsilon^{-M}}{\langle y\rangle^M}.$$

Since $|y| \geq \varepsilon^{-1+0}$, if we denote $z = \varepsilon y$, we have $|z| \geq \varepsilon^{\delta}$, for some $\delta > 0$, which implies that

$$\varepsilon\langle \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \rangle \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{\delta}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle z \rangle.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon} &\leq C\varepsilon^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \rangle^{-M+\frac{1}{2}+0} (\langle z \rangle^{M} \wedge \varepsilon^{-\gamma M}) dz \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{M(1-\delta)-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle z \rangle^{-M+\frac{1}{2}+0} (\langle z \rangle^{M} \wedge \varepsilon^{-\gamma M}) dz \end{aligned}$$

where we used the hypothesis (H1) $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \geq \varepsilon^{\gamma}$.
We distinguish two cases, according to the relative size of $\langle z \rangle$ and $\varepsilon^{-\gamma}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\langle z \rangle \geq \varepsilon^{-\gamma}} \langle z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \frac{\langle z \rangle^{M} \wedge \varepsilon^{-\gamma M}}{\langle z \rangle^{M}} dz &\leq \varepsilon^{-M\gamma} \int_{\langle z \rangle \geq \varepsilon^{\gamma}} \langle z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0-M} dz \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-\gamma(\frac{3}{2}+0)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\langle z \rangle \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}} \langle z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} \frac{\langle z \rangle^{M} \wedge \varepsilon^{-\gamma M}}{\langle z \rangle^{M}} dz &\leq \int_{\langle z \rangle \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}} \langle z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+0} dz \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-\gamma(\frac{3}{2}+0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{M(1-\delta)-4-\gamma(\frac{3}{2}+0)}.$$

To conclude, we choose $M > \frac{4+\frac{3}{2}\gamma}{1-\delta}$, which gives that $\mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to ε.

A.2. **Convergence of G^{ε}.** We decompose G^{ε} in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\varepsilon} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} w_0^{\varepsilon}(x+y) S_0(x) \big(\widehat{g^{\varepsilon}}(\varepsilon(x+\frac{y}{2}), y) - \widehat{g^{\varepsilon}}(0,y) \big) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} w_0^{\varepsilon}(x+y) S_0(x) \big(\widehat{g^{\varepsilon}}(0,y) - \widehat{g}(0,y) \big) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} w_0^{\varepsilon}(x+y) S_0(x) \widehat{g}(0,y) dx dy \\ &= I_{\varepsilon} + II_{\varepsilon} + III_{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Using the same method as in Section A.1, we prove that $I_{\varepsilon},\ II_{\varepsilon} \to 0$. Then, we may write

$$III_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} w_0^{\varepsilon}(x) \big(S_0 * \widehat{g}(0,.) \big)(x) dx.$$

Moreover, we established in the proof of Proposition 4.3 that if ϕ is rapidly decreasing at infinity, then $S_0 * \phi \in B$. Hence, since (w_0^{ε}) converges weakly-$*$ in B^* to w_0, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} III_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} w_0(x) \big(S_0 * \widehat{g}(0,.) \big)(x) dx,$$

which ends the proof.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank my advisor François Castella for having guided this work.

References

[1] S. Agmon, L. Hörmander, *Asymptotic properties of solutions of differential equations with simple characteristics*, J. Analyse Math., 30 (1976), 1-38.

[2] J.D. Benamou, F. Castella, T. Katsaounis, B. Perthame, *High frequency limit of the Helmholtz equation*, Rev. Math. Iberoamericana 18 (2002), 187-209.

[3] N. Burq, *Mesures semi-classiques et mesures de défaut*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1996/97. Astérisque No. 245 (1997).

[4] F. Castella, B. Perthame, O. Runborg, *High frequency limit of the Helmholtz equation. Source on a general manifold*, Comm. P.D.E 3-4 (2002), 607-651.

[5] L. Erdös, H.T. Yau, *Linear Boltzmann equation as scaling limit of the quantum Lorentz gas*, Advances in diff. eq. and math. physics (Atlanta, GA, 1997) 137-155 Contemp. Math., **217**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[6] P. Gérard, *Mesures semi-classiques et ondes de Bloch*, In Séminaire Equations aux dérivées partielles 1988-1989, exp XVI, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau (1988).

[7] P. Gérard, P.A. Markowich, N.J. Mauser, F. Poupaud, *Homogeneisation limits and Wigner transforms*, Comm. pure and Appl. Math., 50 (1997), 321-357.

[8] L. Hörmander,*The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[9] P.-L. Lions, T. Paul, *Sur les mesures de Wigner*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 9 (3) (1993), 553-618.

[10] L. Miller, *Refraction of high-frequency waves density by sharp interfaces and semiclassical measures at the boundary*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 79 (2000), 227–269.

[11] G. Papanicolaou, L. Ryzhik, *Waves and Transport. Hyperbolic equations and frequency interactions*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 5 (1997).

[12] B. Perthame, L. Vega, *Morrey-campanato estimates for the Helmholtz equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 164(2) (1999), 340-355.

[13] L. Tartar, *H-measures, a new approach for studying homogeneisation, oscillations and concentration effects in partial differential equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ed., 115 A (1990), 193-230.

[14] E. Wigner, *On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium*, Phys. Rev., 40 (1932).